

### REMARKS

This Amendment and Response is in response to the *final* Office Action, dated September 8, 2004, where the Examiner has rejected claims 1-11. By the present amendment, claims 1-3 and 11 have been cancelled, and claim 4 has been amended. After the present amendment, claims 4-10 are pending in the present application. Reconsideration and allowance of pending claims 4-10 in view of the above amendments and following remarks are respectfully requested.

#### A. Rejection of Claims 1, 3-8, and 11 under 35 USC §102(b)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3-8, and 11 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,238,856 to Tokumitsu ("Tokumitsu"). For the reasons discussed below, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 4, as amended, is patentably distinguishable over Tokumitsu.

As explained on page 11, lines 9-18 of the present application:

"One undesirable artifact of the exposure process is the relatively poor resolving power of the micro-lens suitable material itself. This means that as the micro-lens suitable material is subjected to a lens formation pattern, some amount of bleeding will occur. The lens formation pattern must accommodate this inherent bleeding phenomenon. Accommodation of the bleeding may be accomplished by making the rectangular shapes 20 somewhat smaller than the outer perimeter of the boundary region 5 encompassing each active sensing region 10. This setback precludes the formation of micro-lenses that occupy the entire area defined by the boundary region 5. This results in a diminished efficiency for any micro-lens array fabricated using traditional techniques." (Emphasis added.)

To this end, the present application utilizes a novel process wherein the micro-lenses are formed in two or more stages, while as described in conjunction with Fig. 6 of the present application, due to the bleeding phenomenon that occurs during processing of the micro-lens suitable material deposited on the semiconductive substrate, a setback 60 is introduced at the

Attorney Docket No.: 0190104

boundary of the micro-lenses to ensure that the micro-lens suitable material will form distinct islands after any unwanted material is removed. (Page 12, lines 18-27.) Accordingly, claim 4 of the present application has been amended to recite "wherein the first lens formation pattern includes a boundary for each of a first plurality of micro-lenses to be formed, and wherein the first lens formation pattern further includes a setback from the boundary for each of the first plurality of micro-lenses to be formed."

However, the cited references of record do not disclose, teach or suggest such setback. In fact, for example, Tokumitsu teaches away from introducing such setback by requiring that the shapes of the condenser lens, e.g. the corner angles of the lens being rounded off. (Col. 5, lines 14-20.)

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention as defined by independent claim 4, as amended, is not taught, disclosed, or suggested by Tokumitsu. Thus, independent claim 4 is patentably distinguishable over Tokumitsu. As such, the claims depending from amended independent claim 4 are, *a fortiori*, also patentably distinguishable over Tokumitsu for at least the reasons presented above and also for additional limitations contained in each dependent claim.

**B. Rejection of Claims 2, 9, and 10 under 35 USC §103(a)**

The Examiner has rejected claims 2, 9, and 10 under 35 USC §103(a) as being obvious with respect to Tokumitsu in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,604,077 to Kono, et al. ("Kono"). Applicant respectfully submits that claim 2 has been cancelled by the present amendment and claims 9 and 10 depend from independent claim 4 and thus, claims 9 and 10 should be allowed at

Attorney Docket No.: 0190104


least for the same reasons discussed above in conjunction with patentability of independent claim

4.

**C. Conclusion**

For all the foregoing reasons, an early Notice of Allowance directed to claims 4-10 pending in the present application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,  
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP



Farshad Farjami  
Reg. No. 41,014

Date: 10/19/04

FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP  
26522 La Alameda Ave., Suite 360  
Mission Viejo, California 92691  
Telephone: (949) 282-1000  
Facsimile: (949) 282-1002

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by facsimile transmission to United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile number 703-872-9306 on the date stated below. The facsimile transmission report indicated that the facsimile transmission was successful.

Date of Facsimile: 10/19/04

LESLEY L. LAM  
Name of Person Performing Facsimile Transmission

Lesley L. Lam 10/19/04  
Signature Date